

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,828	10/01/2003	Steven W. Havens	65266-003	8799
28804	7590 04/13/2006	EXAMINER		
	CES OF JOHN CHUPA HARD LAKE ROAD	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
SUITE 50 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	+-	
10/674,828	HAVENS, STEVEN W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael P. Nghiem	2863		

before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Michael P. Nghiem	2863						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	duaina ar aimplifiúna	the incurse for					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Solution Transfer in the proposed amendment(s): a)		II he entered and an	evolunation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		n be entered and an e	sxpianation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>44-46</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,15-17,22-25 and 43</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-14,18-21,26,37 and 42</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27-36 and 38-41</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t does NOT place the application in	a condition for allows	nee heeguse:					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce pecause.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		lo(s)						
13. Other: Clar Clark MICHAEL NGHIEM								
MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER								
PHIMAN EXAMINATION IN THE PRIMARY EXAMINATION IN								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 47-50 raise new issue of definition and definiteness over the prior art of record.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lewis et al. (US 6,455,319) teaches the claimed "system of transducers" (array of sensors 110).